William D. Ross Karin A. Briggs Danielle N. Schenker David P. Schwarz

Kypros G. Hostetter Of Counsel Law Office of

### William D. Ross

520 South Grand Avenue, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2610 Telephone: (213) 892-1592

Facsimile: (213) 892-1519

Palo Alto Office:

400 Lambert Street Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 843-8080 Facsimile: (650) 843-8093

File No: 19/36

April 30, 2012

## **DOCKET**

11-AFC-2

**DATE** APR 30 2012

**RECD.** MAY 01 2012

### VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL DELIVERY

dockets@energy.state.ca.us

The Honorable Karen Douglas, Commissioner Presiding Member The Honorable Carla Peterman, Associate Member Hearing Officer Kenneth Celli California Energy Commission Dockets Unit

Docket No.: 11-AFC-2 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95614

Re: Request for Listing As Interested Agency; Correction of Factual

Representations Concerning Position of Southern Inyo Fire Protection

District

### Dear Honorable Commissioners:

This office represents the Southern Inyo Fire Protection District (the "District"), an Interested Agency¹ with respect to the Hidden Hills Solar Electric Generating System Application (the "project") and a responsible agency under the certified regulatory program review of the project under provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq. "CEQA")².

Initially, the District seeks to correct representations in the record, specifically the March 16, 2012 communication to you from the Senior Project Manager received March 16, 2012 wherein it is represented on page 7 that:

"Staff has not yet received a response to the November 17,

<sup>1</sup> The District requests to be prospectively listed as an interested agency with respect to all Commission proceedings with respect to this project.

<sup>2</sup> See, Public Resources Code section 21080.5; CEQA Guidelines sections 15250-15253.

The Honorable Karen Douglas, Commissioner Presiding Member The Honorable Carla Peterman, Associate Member Hearing Officer Kenneth Celli California Energy Commission Dockets Unit April 30, 2012 Page 2

2011, worker's safety and fire protection data request, sent 1.C, nos. 95 and 96<sup>3</sup>. The data's request advised the applicant to provide either confirmation of an agreement with a Southern Inyo Fire Protection District (SIFPD) that there would be no expected impacts resulting from the construction and operation of the project or a fire protection and emergency services risk and needs assessment. Without this agreement or documentation, staff cannot fully assess the potential project impacts to SIFPD's ability to provide fire protection and emergency medical services to the project and still fulfill their responsibilities to the rest of the public."

First, it is noted that there has been a District response transmitted to Commission Staff dated February 15, 2012, which initially responds to the November 17, 2011 request, a copy of which is enclosed. Simply stated, like any development within the District, the project will have an impact on the services and facilities of the District for which there should be proportionate financial mitigation.

Additionally, the District is not in agreement that the impact of the project can be met by a Fire Protection and Emergency Services Risk and Needs Assessment, without the incorporation of independent review or peer review within that assessment.

The District would respectively note that mitigation for the project impacts would be consistent with both the requirements for consistency or conformity with the County of Inyo's General Plan as well as regulations applicable to the project. The District has had

<sup>3</sup> The November 17, 2011 communication referenced to data request numbers 95 and 96 provides as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot;95. Please provide a letter, email, or record of conversation with SIFPD that confirms the absence of any expected impacts on the local fire district resulting from construction and operation of the proposed project.

<sup>96.</sup> In the absence of such letter or communication, please provide a Fire and Emergency Services Risk Assessment and a Fire Protection and Emergency Services Needs Assessment for the construction and operation of the project that provides an objective estimate of both equipment and staffing shortfalls (if any) and the associated recommended mitigations (if any) that would be required by SIFPD to maintain its current level of readiness to respond."

The Honorable Karen Douglas, Commissioner Presiding Member The Honorable Carla Peterman, Associate Member Hearing Officer Kenneth Celli California Energy Commission Dockets Unit April 30, 2012 Page 3

communications with the applicant representatives on these issues without definitive response.

Your review of the matters set forth in this communication is respectfully requested.

Very truly yours, William D. Wy\_

William D. Ross District Counsel

WDR:lcm Enclosure

cc: Larry Levy, District Chief

Attached Service List

## **ATTACHMENT**

### CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

REPORT OF CONVERSATION

Page 1 of 1

# DOCKET

11-AFC-2



DATE FEB 15 2012



					NEOD. TEL	3 13 20 12	
Siting, Transmission, and Environmental Protection Division					FILE: 11-AFC-2		
				PROJECT TITLE: Hidden Hills SEGS			
∑ Ema	ail	Phone		Meeting Loca	ation:	, 111111	
NAME:	Steve	Kerr		DATE: Feb. 15,	2012	TIME:	3:26 pm
WITH:	H: Larry Levy, Acting Chief, Southern Inyo Fire Protection District (SIFPD)						
SUBJEC	SUBJECT: SIFPD - Emergency Medical Response Needs Assessment Form						
CEC state	ff's 9/30/11 letter t	arry Levy, Acting Chief o SIFPD regarding Po at of our email: ccess4less.net> 2/15/2	tenti	al Fire District Em			
Steve,							
legal cour finally go revenue We are of it is comp Call me i	unsel. As an indep of our residents to in the last few yea currently working o plete. if you have any qu vy, Acting Chief, S	o the questionnaire wirendent District we recovered to the part of the control of	eive cel ta rs fro essm	no portion of the F ax to support the w om private hands b ent and will meet	Prop 13 limited 19 Prork (on the 4th a pack to public lan with representati	% tax base ittempt). W ds.	e. The District le have lost
ŀ		nedical+response+nee	eds+a	assess+form[1]			
CC: Pi	roject file			Prepared b	y: Steve Kerr		
מ	ick Ratliff. Staff Co	unsel					

9.4/1	Emergency Medic	al Response Needs Assessment Form
	A COLUMN TO THE TAXABLE PROPERTY.	tics, as Proposed by the Project Applicant
Type, Location, Size, and Site Access:	Power generating facility California-Nevada borde Highway) from the proje	proposed on 3,277 acres in Inyo County, California, along the r. Primary site access would be from Tecopa Road (Old Spanish Trail ct entrance road at the east side of the project. Secondary access would id at the west side of the project, then along the paved road between the
Estimated Schedule:	Construction of the power operation, would take ap quarter of 2012 and conconstructed concurrently	proximately 29 months. If approved, construction would begin the third lude the second quarter of 2015. The two solar plants would be with a planned three-month delay between their start dates. See Table ription Section of the AFC for a list of the project schedule major
Construction (Traffic and Work Force):	Construction would gene heleostat assembly from approximately 2,744 dail trips. The truck trips are 6:00 p.m.). These trips at to the construction of the workers per day range from predicted during construction month 14 with a prediction of the workers per day range from the construction of the workers per day range from the construction of the workers per day range from the construction of the workers per day range from the construction of the workers per day range from the construction of the constr	erally occur between 5 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. with swing shift during 6:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. During the peak construction month (month 14), by trips would occur. Of these daily trips, truck traffic accounts for 834 assumed to be spread out equally throughout the day (from 6 a.m. to be only the trips for the project site and do not include the trips related transmission line and gas line (as they are off-site). The number of period of 1,033 in month 14. The highest numbers are calcium months 13 through 16. The peak number of workers on-site is projected 1,033 workers. Overall, there is a 1-year period where the thin approximately 20% of the peak.
Operation (Staff and Traffic):	The project would emplo daily trips. Only 40 empl	by approximately 120 full-time workers resulting in approximately 240 oyees are required for the daytime shift (80 trips) and the remaining 80 n evening shift. The evening shift employees would likely travel
Project Medical Emergency Response Features:	and comply with applical be provided to construction part of the construction a Program/Plan would descommunication systems,	ram for both construction and operation designed to mitigate hazards ble regulations would be implemented. Safety training programs would on and operations personnel. The Emergency Action Program/Plan is not operation health and safety plan. The Emergency Action cribe escape procedures, rescue and medical procedures, alarm and and response procedures for very hazardous materials that can migrate be contained in written documents that are usually kept at specific ity.
Existing	Emergency Medical R	esponse Resources and Services in the Project Area if more room is needed to answer questions)
fire stations, ambu serving the project	ses of the facilities (e.g., lance dispatch facility) area, and distance of cility to the project site:	Southern Inyo Fire Protection District (SIFPD) office and station, 410 Tecopa Hot Springs Rd. Dispatched by Inyo County SO. Response time, page to project site, 30-40 min. The District includes 1250 sq. mi. of S.E. Inyo County.
response service st	d emergency medical andard (e.g., 5 minute acy response time, 1 se unit per 1,000	It is the desire of SIFPD to enhance our EMS and fire response capabilities in the project area (Charleston View) to provide response times in the 5-10 minute range. This will require the acquisition of both facilities and equipment as well as the training of additional responders.
Existing staffing le emergency medica serving the project	evels able to respond to il incidents for facilities area (including unteer staff, totals and	2 EMT-B, 1 FFII, 2 FFI in training, 4 Entry Level FF/First responder. All personnel currently volunteer /on-call 24-7.
Estimated emerger times to the project	ncy medical response t site:	Currently 30-40 min.  Projected with additional ambulance and trained staff in project area 5-10 min.
staff) to maintain o	needs (e.g., facilities and or meet existing I response service levels:	3 bay station to house new ambulance and existing fire apparatus in project area.  Minimum 2 trained EMTs and 4 firefighters in project area.

Emergency Medica	al Response Needs Assessment Form
Exchange of general emergency medical response responsibilities (e.g., formal and/or informal agreements with local municipalities or private companies for provision of services) in the project area:	Nearest mutual aid is Pahrump Valley Fire and Rescue Services approx, 30 min. Nye County Emergency Services also in Pahrump, Nv. / fire only. None of our neighboring jurisdictions are staffed adequately enough to guarantee availability.
Current inventory of specialized equipment or services (e.g., life flight services):	Mercy Air helicopters are available from Pahrump 10 min. or Las Vegas 30-40 min.
	Medical Response Services, Equipment, and Facilities rif more room is needed to answer questions)
Is there a process or formula used by your department to determine the need for additional medical response services to serve a new large-scale power plant? Please explain.	The process we will use is a risk assessment to determine needs for additional staffing, equipment and needs for specialized training. These needs will include support for EMS: fire, rescue, confined space operations, hazards associated with high pressure steam and electrical generation and transmission.
Could the project trigger a need for additional emergency medical response services? Please explain.  During project construction:  During project operation:	YES. The project will at least triple our District's population during the construction phase. All roads in the area are narrow with little or no shoulders. Increased traffic will result in increased motor vehicle accident responses.  Employees in operation phase will double the current population of the project vicinity.
Could increased project-related traffic affect circulation and access on roads near the project site to the extent that an impact to emergency response times might occur? Please explain.  During project construction:	YES. As stated above, all roads in the area are narrow with little or no shoulder. Old Spanish Trail aka Tecopa Road is the only paved access from Hwy 160 in Nevada or Hwy 127 in California. Traffic is currently light except for increased usage for access to Dumont Dunes recreation area, especially long weekends.
During project operation:	During operation increased traffic should not be a significant issue.
Do emergency medical response personnel review development site plans for projects to assess potential medical emergency issues (e.g., safety plans, emergency response plans)? Please explain.	Southern Inyo Fire Protection District acts as the Fire Marshall in the area and will want to be involved in emergency planning so that we can respond effectively in an emergency.
Please explain any other emergency medical response concerns that have not been addressed by this needs assessment form.	SIFPD medical emergency response is currently an EMT-Basic service. We are currently assessing requirements to achieve a higher level of care.
	eting This Needs Assessment Form
Name: Title/Position: Telephone No: E-mail Address:	Larry Levy Acting Chief, SIFPD w. 775-513-5675 h. 760-852-4542 levy2717@access4less.net

## Southern Inyo Fire Protection District

PO Box 51 - Tecopa Ca., 92389 - 760-852-4130

Attachment "A"

The District was created and authorized under the Fire Protection District Law of 1987 (Health and Safety Code section 13800 *et seq.*, the "Act".

It is the local agency authorized to provide fire prevention, suppression and emergency medical services within in its boundaries and to the Project site.

Upon formation the District did not receive any portion of the 1% property tax rate levied under Cal. Const. section XIII A. It is established policy of the District Board that any development within the District should bear a proportional share for fire services and equipment.

The revenue authority for the District is set forth within the Act, sections 13910 through 13916.

Among the types of financing mechanisms that are available are a voter approved Special Tax, section 13911 (Government Code section 50075 et seg.); a Special Tax consistent with the Mello-Roos Communities Facilities Act, section 13912 (Government Code section 53311 et seg.); a Special Tax levy for Fire Protection, section 13913 (Government Code section 53970 et seq.); a Fire Suppression Services Assessment, section 13914 (Government Code section 50078 et seq.). The District also has Capital Improvement Financing Authority under the Improvement Act of 1911 (Streets and Highways Code section 5000 et seq.); the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 (Government Code section 5000 et seq.) and the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 (Streets and Highways Code section 10000 et seq.). The District may also charge a fee for the services that it renders, section 13916.

The District maintains that the most efficient and immediate way for the Project to bear its proportional fair share would be by way of a special tax, a Mello-Roos Communities Facility Special Tax or a Fire and Police Special Tax, or a Fire Suppression Services Assessment (which would be subject to Proposition 218 analysis) all of which could be authorized by a vote of the property owner for the Project.

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Le Chaune Metoyer, declare that on April 30, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the attached Request for Listing As Interested Agency; Correction of Factual Representations Concerning Position of Southern Inyo Fire Protection District, dated April 30, 2012. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [www.energy.ca.gov/sltingcases/hydrogen energy/index.html].

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

	all that Apply) rvice to all other parties:				
<u>_x</u> _	Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;				
	Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person serve, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date of those addresses <b>NOT</b> marked "e-mail preferred."				
AND					
<u>x</u>	by sending an electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); OR				
a delige _ estest	by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in he mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:				
	CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-2 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us				
OR, if fi	iling a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:				
	Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:				
	California Energy Commission Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 mlevy@energy.state.ca.us				

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Le Chaune Metoyer



# BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

# APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HIDDEN HILLS SOLAR ELECTRIC GENERATING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO. 11-AFC-2 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 3/22/2012)

### **APPLICANT**

BrightSource Energy Stephen Wiley 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2150 Oakland, CA 94612-3500 swiley@brightsourceenergy.com

BrightSource Energy
Andrew Miller
Michelle L. Farley
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2150
Oakland, CA 94612-3500
amiller@brightsourceenergy.com
mfarley@brightsourceenergy.com

BrightSource Energy
Clay Jensen
Gary Kazio
410 South Rampart Blvd., Suite 390
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
ciensen@brightsourceenergy.com
gkazio@brightsourceenergy.com

### **APPLICANTS' CONSULTANTS**

Strachan Consulting, LLC Susan Strachan P.O. Box 1049 Davis, CA 95617 susan@strachanconsult.com

CH2MHill John Carrier 2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95833-2987 jcarrier@ch2m.com

### **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Ellison, Schneider and Harris, LLP
Chris Ellison
Jeff Harris
Samantha Pottenger
2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95816-5905
cte@eslawfirm.com
jdh@eslawfirm.com
sgp@eslawfirm.com

### **INTERVENORS**

Jon William Zellhoefer P.O. Box 34 Tecopa, CA 92389 jon@zellhoefer.info

Center for Biological Diversity Lisa T. Belenky, Sr. Attorney 351 California Street, Ste. 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> Ibelenky@biologicaldiversity.org

Center for Biological Diversity
Ileene Anderson, Public Lands
Desert Director
PMB 447
8033 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90046
e-mail service preferred
ianderson@biologicaldiversity.org

Old Spanish Trail Association Jack Prichett 857 Nowita Place Venice, CA 90291 jackprichett@ca.rr.com

### INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

Great Basin Unified APCD
Duane Ono
Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
157 Short Street
Bishop, CA 93514
dono@gbuapcd.org

County of Inyo
Dana Crom, Deputy County Counsel
P.O. Box M
Independence, CA 93526
dcrom@inyocounty.us

Nye County
Lorinda A. Wichman, Chairman
Board of County Supervisors
P.O. Box 153
Tonopah, NV 89049
[awichman@gmail.com]

\*Nye County Water District L. Darrel Lacy Interim General Manager 2101 E. Calvada Boulevard, Suite 100 Pahrump, NV 89048 Ilacy@co.nye.nv.us

\*National Park Service
Michael L. Elliott
Cultural Resources Specialist
National Trails Intermountain
Region
P.O. Box 728
Santa Fe, NM 87504-0728
Michael Elliott@nps.gov

## ENERGY COMMISSION - DECISIONMAKERS

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Presiding Member
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>kldougla@energy.ca.gov</u>

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and Associate Member
cpeterma@energy.ca.gov

Ken Celli Hearing Adviser kcelli@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
Advisor to Presiding Member
glemei@energy.ca.gov

Jim Bartridge Advisor to Associate Member jbartrid@energy.ca.gov

## ENERGY COMMISSION - STAFF

Mike Monasmith
Senior Project Manager
mmonasmi@energy.ca.gov

Richard Ratliff Staff Counsel IV drattiff@energy.ca.gov

## ENERGY COMMISSION - PUBLIC ADVISER

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser's Office
e-mail service preferred
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us